Integrating Entrepreneurship and Art to Improve Creative Problem Solving in Fisheries Education

2

- 4 Susan K. Jacobson
- 5 Professor, Dept. Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences,
- 6 University of Florida, PO Box 110430, Gainesville, FL.
- 7
- 8 Jennifer Seavey
- 9 Kingsbury Director of the Shoals Marine Laboratory and Courtesy Assistant Professor, College
- 10 of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University; Research Faculty, School of Marine
- 11 Science and Ocean Engineering, University of New Hampshire, 113 Morse Hall, University of
- 12 New Hampshire, Durham, NH.
- 13
- 14 Janis Goodman
- 15 Professor of Fine Arts, Corcoran College of Art, George Washington University, Washington,
- 16 DC.
- 17
- 18 Owen C. Nichols
- 19 Director, Marine Fisheries Research, Center for Coastal Studies, 5 Holway Avenue,
- 20 Provincetown, MA
- 21
- 22 Lindsey C. Williams
- 23 Social Scientist, MIT Sea Grant College Program, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 12
- Emily St. NW98-178, Cambridge, MA.
- 25
- 26 Marcela Márquez-García
- 27 Post-doctoral Associate, Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB-Chile), Universidad Austral
- 28 de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.
- 29
- 30 Olga Barbosa
- 31 Professor and Director, Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB-Chile), Universidad Austral
- 32 de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.
- 33

34

Integrating Entrepreneurship and Art to Improve Creative Problem Solving in Fisheries Education

37 38

Abstract

39 We adapted instructional activities from entrepreneurship and art training to improve student

40 skills in creative problem solving in fisheries and natural resource fields. The teaching module

included: (1) individual exercises that apply specific thinking strategies to improve originality,
 flexibility and fluency for creative thinking; (2) group exercises using an entrepreneurial

42 hexibility and indency for clearive uniking, (2) group excretises using an entrepreneuriar 43 business framework for collaborative, creative problem solving that focuses on effective

44 brainstorming and decision making; and (3) art inquiry experiences centered on creating emojis

45 to help students explore and communicate their experiences in the field. These techniques were

46 introduced and practiced during an undergraduate sustainable fisheries field course to creatively

47 address topics ranging from reducing bycatch in fishing gear to sustainable fisheries

48 management, and repeated in a graduate-level short course for students in natural resources.

49 Evaluation of student learning showed significant improvement in pre- and post-originality

50 scores and positive student and faculty feedback.

51

52

INTRODUCTION

53

54 A clarion call by the National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine emphasizes that higher education in the 21st century must integrate across disciplines, including 55 56 connecting the arts and sciences, to prepare the next generation of professionals to address complex challenges (NASEM, 2018). Issues ranging from sustainably managing fisheries to 57 58 addressing climate change require new ideas, creativity, and holistic solutions. As Albert 59 Einstein (n.d.) noted, "We cannot solve the problems that we have created with the same 60 thinking that created them." Although the demand for entrepreneurial skills has been recognized throughout the business world (Zucchella et al. 2018), it is increasingly needed in natural 61 62 resource fields where both creative solutions and problem-solving skills are required for good science, management and policy. Moreover, learning outcomes associated with interdisciplinary 63 education, such as critical and creative thinking, and communication and collaboration skills, are 64 increasingly desired by employers (NASEM 2018), including those in fisheries agencies and 65 industry (McMullin et al. 2016). Yet while business schools and engineering programs have 66 exploded with curricula to encourage innovative entrepreneurship – "a dynamic process of 67 vision, change, and creation" (Kuratko 2005, p. 578) – fisheries programs have lagged behind 68 despite calls for new curricula (e.g., Colvin and Peterson 2016, Lederman et al. 2016, Terre 69 70 2016).

71 To address this challenge, we developed a learning module that enhances innovative 72 thinking in aspiring scientists at a university field station and marine laboratory. We introduced fisheries and other natural resource students to a suite of activities intended to develop their 73 74 creative dispositions and problem-solving skills. Field courses offer students direct experiences 75 in natural areas to help them develop an understanding of the complexity and interaction of 76 physical, natural and social systems (NRC 2014). Field courses traditionally teach natural 77 science and ecological processes, but may fall short of their potential because interdisciplinary 78 perspectives are often not engaged to enhance other ways of understanding the world beyond 79 technical scientific frameworks (Turner & Freeman 2004). This limits the development of 80 creativity among young scientists.

Creative thinking – "the experience of thinking, reacting, and working in an imaginative 81 way characterized by a high degree of innovation, divergent thinking, and risk taking" (AACU 82 n.d., p.1) – is needed to innovatively address environmental resource management, especially 83 84 fisheries problems on a local and global scale. Researchers have found that teaching creative thinking requires using explicit strategies that promote cognitive flexibility. "Students need to be 85 repeatedly reminded and shown how to be creative, to integrate materials across subject areas, to 86 question their own assumptions, and to imagine other viewpoints and possibilities" (DeHaan 87 2009, p172). Fisheries scientists often face complex problems that have many paths and multiple 88 89 possible solutions, yet in the classroom, there is little teaching of higher-order thinking skills, of 90 which creativity is the most complex (Dehaan 2009).

91 We tested a variety of field-based activities adding art inquiry and entrepreneurship 92 training to conventional scientific investigations. The resulting module adapted activities from 93 business and the arts that can be readily added to existing fisheries courses. Our goal was to 94 teach students that a "good thinker" must develop a repertoire of creative (also called divergent 95 or associative) thinking and collaborative problem-solving skills, to complement the critical 96 thinking skills often emphasized in science disciplines.

We tested the module with students at a field course in sustainable fisheries at the Shoals
Marine Laboratory (operated by Cornell University and the University of New Hampshire),

99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116	located on Appledore Island, Maine, and repeated the exercises in a short-course format at the Universidad Austral de Chile for graduate students in ecology and natural resources. We developed an integrated set of three activities that helped to build learner capacity for independent creative thinking and collaborative group problem solving. The first activity helped students identify cognitive barriers to creativity and learn simple thinking strategies to overcome them. The second activity introduced a systematic method for collaborative problem-solving, used in business schools to teach brain-storming and collaborative decision-making (Parnes 1992). The third exercise incorporated an art-making activity. Art offers students an experience that can help them understand the creative process, examine the world in different ways, stimulate new dialogues, and facilitate an emotional connection to fisheries or other fields (Jacobson et al. 2007, Levinthal 1988); thus enhancing creative problem-solving approaches. Once students become familiar with the concepts and steps for thinking creatively as individuals and in groups, the techniques were reiterated during the course to enhance their traditional scientific investigations. The goal of the module was to enhance students' ability to produce transformative insights (Repko 2012) and ensure students are able to adopt a creative disposition to solving fisheries and natural resource management problems in the future.
117	METHODS
118	
119	Participants
120	
121	The module was integrated into the Sustainable Fisheries course (Cornell:BioSM2800/
122	UNH:MEFB 702), June 12-26, 2017. All undergraduate students (n=6) participated. The module
123	was repeated in a short course format for graduate students and recent graduates in Natural
124	Resources and Ecology at the Universidad Austral de Chile, Institute of Ecology and
125 126	Biodiversity (n=28), in Valdivia, Chile.
126	Activity 1: Creative thinking skills
127	Fostering individual creativity – Paper clip and incomplete figure challenge (1 hour)
120	Tostering individual creativity – Taper cup and incomplete figure chattenge (Thour)
130	The first activity provided students with basic exercises to enhance creative thinking.
131	Developed for creativity testing in the 1960s by psychologist Ellis Paul Torrance, participants
132	transform a simple image into as many items as possible (Lissitz and Willhoft 1985). Through
133	practice, becoming more dexterous in generating fluency, flexibility, and originality of ideas can
134	help students learn how to restructure problems and produce innovative solutions (DeHaan
135	2011). We discuss barriers to creativity and emphasize how students can learn to use specific
136	thinking strategies to individually and collectively address problems. Students were asked to use
137	their imaginations and list as many uses as possible for a paperclip in 2 minutes. Students shared
138	their responses with a partner to identify and score them quantitatively across four scales:
139	fluency (number of different uses of the paperclip), flexibility (the number of different categories
140	covered), originality (how uncommon the uses are in relation to the responses of their
141	classmates, only unique responses are counted), and elaboration (the amount of detail in each
142	response, only measured descriptively in our analysis). Practicing these simple exercises with
143	different subjects helps students learn skills for innovation (DeHaan 2011).

144 Once students explored the concepts of fluency, flexibility, originality and elaboration 145 with the paper clip challenge, they practiced with an additional exercise that challenged them to create as many images as possible from an incomplete figure, the letter V, in a two-minute 146 147 period. Students then assessed their own figures across the four scales and discussed the originality scale by comparing answers with the entire class. Students completed the paperclip 148 149 activity again at the end of the module to use as a metric for assessing the development of their 150 creativity strategies.

151

152 **Activity 2. Entrepreneurship skills**

153

Collaborative Creative Problem Solving - Water filter Challenge (1 hour)

154

155 The second exercise introduced a systematic method for collaboration, the Osborn-Parnes model of Creative Problem Solving (CPS), which emphasizes innovative thinking in groups 156 157 (Parnes 1992). The framework uses a creative, divergent thinking phase in which participants generate lots of ideas (problem definitions, evaluation criteria, implementation strategies), and 158 159 then a convergent or critical thinking phase in which criteria are selected for making judgments 160 and the most promising ideas are further explored.

We introduce students to the six steps of the CPS model using a workbook format that is 161 available online (Mitchell and Kowalik 1999). The steps include: (1) Mess Finding (goal 162 163 identification), (2) Fact Finding (3) Problem Finding (4) Idea Finding (5) Solution Finding, and (6) Acceptance Finding (implementation). The students worked in groups of 3-6 individuals, 164 depending on the size of the class. They were provided with the workbook outlining the CPS 165 Model and a set of materials with a specific problem to solve. We gave them the challenge of 166 designing a water filter to 'clean" a cup of very dirty water. Materials for each group included: 2 167 styrofoam cups, 2 clear plastic cups, .5 cup sand, .5 cup gravel, 1 sharp pencil, and 2 paper 168 169 towels.

170 Because we defined a specific problem and materials, we asked the students to focus on Step 4 to identify at least 30 ideas for building the water filter, using the workbook guidance on 171 172 brainstorming techniques. This requires that students share many wild ideas and follow specified techniques to enhance originality. Students recognize that they must become more fluent in 173 ideation by combining and spinning off from the ideas of others to reach the 30-idea limit. The 174 175 CPS Model encourages the students to follow the acronym SCAMPER to stimulate ideas (Substitute, Combine, Adapt, Magnify, Put to other uses, Eliminate, Reverse) (Mitchell and 176 Kowalik 1999). The students then complete Step 5, Solution-Finding, to identify criteria to 177 178 evaluate the ideas and select a workable solution. A contest to filter dirty water ends the exercise 179 with the group producing the cleanest cup of water winning first place.

180

181 **Activity 3: Art Inquiry Process Skills**

- 182 **Emoji Challenge** (1.5 hours)
- 183

184 Many field stations host visiting artists (NRC 2014) and many research institutions have 185 been promoting arts integration in higher education (Mackh 2015), yet art faculty may not think to interact with science students in meaningful ways. In our module, the artist (JG) created an 186 exercise specifically for fisheries undergraduate students to think about the world using different 187 188 perspectives. Students are encouraged to create a new idea, by looking for hidden analogies and simplifying, adding or subtracting things to develop and communicate original ideas. 189

190 The objective of the art activity was to help students practice their ability to use their 191 imagination to transcend traditional ideas, rules, patterns, and relationships. The visiting artist at 192 SML (JG) decided that students in the Sustainable Fisheries course would benefit from an art 193 experience that could help them digest and summarize their course. Students often believe that one is either born creative or not, and do not realize that creativity is a skill that can be practiced. 194 195 The art inquiry further helped students realize they could be imaginative regardless of artistic 196 skill. The art activity focused on ideograms typically used in contemporary social media. They 197 were asked to design a series of simple emojis that would be a shorthand for all their learning 198 and experiences in the course. Students were supplied with paper, colored pencils and watercolor 199 paints. They designed emojis that were both humorous and poignant. The class discussed the 200 universal notation of emojis and explored personal issues as well as scientific ones. The students 201 were encouraged to consider their boat trips and equipment used on the trawlers, the types of fish 202 they had studied, the movement of water, the varying temperatures on the island, their term 203 projects, and any other experience or iconic image that resonated with their field stay and course. 204 The natural resource graduate students were asked to contemplate and depict their research and 205 work experiences. Conversation and often laughter accompanied the recall and sharing of their 206 experiences.

207

208

8 Reinforcement of Key Concepts throughout Course

209 Components of the creative thinking module were integrated with the field component of 210 211 the fisheries course during our time on board a commercial fishing vessel engaged in trawling for 212 groundfish. Under the supervision of the fisherman and instructors, students compared catches from two different nets, each of which was designed to target different species based on mesh 213 type relative to fish body shape. Using the SCAMPER technique students shared innovative 214 215 ideas to redesign trawler nets to reduce bycatch. Fishing gear modifications to reduce bycatch are an important component of fisheries management, and both the science (design, testing) and 216 implementation (transferring lessons learned to industry) of such measures require creative 217 218 problem solving and elements of visual, verbal and written communication. Faculty also emphasized the value of creative thinking as students practiced the process when trying to 219 envision alternative scenarios for improved fisheries management through a mock stakeholder 220 221 negotiation. The acronym SCAMPER became an easy and fun shorthand to remind students to practice thinking innovatively. The emojis or use of symbols also was reinforced in a subsequent 222 activity in which they had to map an estuary. Since natural science often requires mapping and 223 224 graphing, the ideogram is a perfect instrument for individual notation that conveys larger content. The emoils became a shorthand for remembering, digesting, and communicating huge 225 amounts of information in a relatively short amount of time. In the graduate student module, 226 227 participants worked in groups and identified their own natural resource problems to practice applying the 6-step CPS model outlined in the workbook. Problems ranged from involving 228 229 indigenous people in resource management to sustainable agricultural practices.

230

231 Assessment232

To statistically analyze general trends in improvement in student creativity before and after the module, we used a non-parametric Wilcoxon Signed-rank test, which is a paired difference test, as well as the Common Language Effect Size Statistic (hereafter: Effect Size), 23 23 23

which denotes a large effect size when greater than 0.8 (McGraw and Wong 1992) to

accommodate the small sample sizes and non-normal distribution. We compared before and after

scores in fluency, flexibility and originality using the paperclip challenge and the scoring metric

of DeHaan (2009, 2011). As described in Activity 1, the originality scale measures howuncommon the responses are in relation to the rest of the class; only unique responses are

counted, thus the exercise is useful as a pretest-posttest metric on creativity because it only

measures the number of unique responses per student. Performance in class and student

- evaluations also were used to assess the module.
- 244

245 246

RESULTS

247 248 The students in the Sustainable Fisheries class all improved their scores on average in fluency by 69%, pretest x=7.5 (SD=2.90), posttest x=12.67 (SD=4.1); Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks 249 p=0.03, Effect Size=0.83); flexibility by 51%, pretest x=7.17 (SD=2.63), posttest x=10.83 250 251 (SD=2.79); Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks p=0.02, Effect Size=0.83); and originality by 227% (pretest x=1.17 (SD=1.17), posttest x=3.83 (SD=1.72); Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks p=0.00, Effect Size=1). 252 Participants in the module offered as a graduate student short course also showed improved 253 254 scores: Fluency increased on average by 35% (pretest x=6.71 (SD=2.79), posttest x=9.07 (SD=4.15) (Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks p=0.02, Effect Size=.82); flexibility by 32% (pretest x=6.28) 255 (SD=2.62), posttest x=8.32 (SD=3.34) (Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks p=0.02, Effect Size=0.92); and 256 257 originality by 156% (pretest x=0.82 (SD=0.86), posttest x=2.11 (SD=1.73) (Wilcoxon Signed-258 Ranks p=0.0004, Effect Size=.80). In both modules, the types of items enumerated expanded 259 from fairly literal (hair clip, bookmark) to more elaborate (engraver, fondue dipper) and imaginary (mini-trombone, fidget toy). 260

We included elements from the creative problem-solving and art inquiry activities in our periodic quizzes in the sustainable fisheries class to also underscore the importance of this course content. The questions asked students to describe the use of creative and critical thinking in situations, applying the CPS Model to ideas for reducing marine mammal bycatch, and provide visual images of fish traps or ocean currents. The students were able to respond to the questions without difficulty.

Student evaluations of the module also demonstrated that they perceived they had
mastered a new skill set for thinking fluently, flexibly and originally as well as understood the
importance of thinking creatively for fisheries and natural resource management.

Fisheries students reported: "This material made it easier (step by step) to think and come to a solution outside the box;" "Helped me address a problem differently, seeing my group and others think differently, new and helpful;" "I plan to use the skills I've learned for both my academic career (balancing homework) and professional future (working with others);" "I learned not to always feel bound to think like a scientist…art belongs in science and really helps the learning process."

The graduate natural resources students reported: "The emoji activity was so interesting. I saw how my work and study have a face and place in my emotional thinking;" [The module] "made me realize how few scientists use creative thinking and how this could improve research and science communication;" "I think it is very important to try to apply this way of problem solving in different areas and with different people, to improve our abilities and solutions."

281 282

DISCUSSION

284 The challenging nature of fisheries science and management made a creative thinking 285 module an ideal supplement to a sustainable fisheries course. Creative thinking and collaborative 286 problem solving are necessary tools for those attempting to navigate the contentious and complex issues surrounding natural resource management, especially in the realm of fisheries. 287 288 Conventional disciplinary segregation limits the breadth of students' exposure to other 289 disciplines and hence other ways of understanding their world (NASEM 2018). There are many 290 options for improving teaching in fisheries science, but our focus was unique in that it 291 specifically aimed at improving creativity and innovative problem solving.

283

292 Entrepreneurial processes and strategies provide fundamental approaches for rethinking 293 fisheries management. The Osborn-Parnes model of Creative Problem Solving is based upon 294 generating many different ideas through brainstorming and deferred judgment--thus expanding 295 habitual ways of thinking. The activities we conducted were easy to introduce into an 296 undergraduate fisheries course as well as a short course for graduate students in natural 297 resources. The basic cognitive exercises for practicing a creative thinking disposition are 298 described in DeHaan (2011). Any simple item such as a paperclip, plastic bottle, or a printed 299 triangle, can serve as the subject for practicing fluency, flexibility and originality. The instructions for practicing the creative problem-solving are available online (Mitchell and 300 301 Kowalik 1999). The workbook format allows students to document and track their progress 302 through the framework. Faculty can give students a circumscribed problem like our water filter challenge before encouraging them to choose their own problem to address collaboratively in 303 304 small groups. The faculty found these frameworks particularly relevant for a course on 305 sustainable marine fisheries given the ongoing challenges and need for creative solutions in this 306 sector.

307 The recent focus on integrating STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) 308 disciplines with the addition of the arts (STEAM) is intended to teach students creative means of expression, an understanding of different perspectives, and a greater "awareness of knowledge 309 310 and emotions throughout the human experience" (NASEM 2018 p. 60). The concept of The 311 Artist is one of four roles of a creative process emphasized by Roger von Oech (1986), a leader 312 in entrepreneurship training who uses the artist as a lens to make, modify or transform ideas or things. The emoji art activity provoked the students to think differently about how to explore and 313 314 communicate their experiences. We chose this activity because it required no conventional artistic skill. We have found other non-representational art activities, such as making a found-art 315 collage to explore the process of climate change, eliminated a widespread worry ("I'm not an 316 317 artist") or the urge to fear or judge the products (Jacobson et al. 2012). We have also used basic art exercises to help students examine natural phenomenon in a novel way, such as exploring an 318 319 oyster reef with an artist and producing crumpled paper sculptures to try to represent the 320 enormous interstitial space between oysters in an oyster bar. The graduate student group 321 explored the contours of leaves while drawing them with their eyes closed. Science students engaging in simple art exercises such as these report that the experiences help them look at the 322 323 natural environment in a different way and identify emotional and cognitive barriers to creativity. 324 It is difficult to evaluate interdisciplinary learning and there is "scant empirical literature" (NASEM 2018 p. 88). Multiple forms of evaluation can be used to assess interdisciplinary 325

education, including qualitative or quantitative surveys, narration, portfolios, and expert opinion.
We used student evaluations and the paperclip challenge, a version of a Torrance Test of
Creativity (Lissitz and Willhoft 1985), to evaluate the impacts of the module on creativity in our

329 students. This was built into the instructional experience. In the future a controlled, randomized,

- longitudinal study would allow us to determine the specific role this module can play in the
- development of higher order cognitive skills and creative problem solving across the disciplinary
- 332 spectrum. Although longer-duration programs integrating entrepreneurship and arts with science
- 333 are desirable and could have deeper curricular impacts, these short activities provided students 334 with an initial glimpse of the benefits of transcending traditional disciplinary boundaries to think
- with an initial glimpse of the benefits of transcending traditional disciplinary boundaries to think
 more creatively and also become aware of available concrete methods for effectively
- 336 collaborating and problem solving in groups.
- 337 The short duration of a learning experience can limit efficacy (Jacobson et al. 2015). Yet, 338 the brevity of our module had the advantage of removing some traditional barriers for faculty to engage in interdisciplinary approaches, such as time constraints, insufficient resources, and 339 340 differences in departmental cultures and evaluation (Jacobson et al. 1995; Mackh 2015). The 341 specificity and simplicity of the activities addressed the concern that both faculty and students 342 may lack art or business expertise. A modular approach is also useful for demonstrating the 343 expanded role field stations can play in helping diverse groups of students and faculty acquire 344 new ways of approaching emerging problems and situations. It is increasingly obvious that we must bridge the divide that separates the cultures of art, business and science in order to 345 creatively solve the many complex and pressing problems in natural resource management and 346 347 transform how we educate tomorrow's leaders.
- 348

353 354

355

Funding: We thank the Association of Public Land-Grant Universities APS Innovative Teaching
 Award; University of Florida College of Agricultural and Life Science and Warrington School of
 Business, Shoals Marine Laboratory (UNH & Cornell University); and Universidad de Chile
 Austral, Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad for partial funding of this study.

- REFERENCES
- AACU. Association of American Colleges and Universities. (n.d.) VALUE: Valid assessment of
 learning in undergraduate education. Retrieved from http://www.aacu.org/VALUE/rubrics/)
- Colvin, M. E. and J. T. Peterson. 2016. Preparing future fisheries professionals to make goodDecisions. Fisheries 41(8):473-474.
- 361
 362 DeHaan, R. L. 2009. Teaching creativity and inventive problem solving in science. CBE—Life
 363 Sciences Education 8:172-181.
- 364

366

- DeHaan, R. L. 2011. Teaching creative science thinking. Science 334:1499-1500.
- Fisher, R., W. L. Ury, and B. Patton. 2011. Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement WithoutGiving In. Penguin Books, London, U.K.
- 369
- Jacobson, S. K., M. D. McDuff, and M. C. Monroe. 2007. Promoting conservation through the
 arts: outreach for hearts and minds. Conservation Biology 21:7-10.

372

- 373 Jacobson, S.K., J. Seavey, and R.C. Mueller. 2016. Integrated science and art education for
- creative climate change communication. Ecology and Society 21(3):30-36.

375 376 Jacobson, S.K., M. McDuff, and M. Monroe. (2015). Conservation Education and Outreach Techniques, 2nd Edition. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 377 378 Kuratko, D. F. 2005. The emergence of entrepreneurship education: development, trends, and 379 challenges. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice 29(5):577-597. 380 381 382 Lissitz, R. W., and Willhoft, J. L. 1985. A methodological study of the Torrance Tests of 383 Creativity. Journal of Educational Measurement, 22(1): 1-11. 384 385 Mackh, B. M. 2015. Surveying the Landscape: Arts Integration at Research Universities: A 386 Review of Best Practices and Challenges for Arts Integration in Higher Education. Ann Arbor, 387 MI: Michigan Publishing Services. 388 389 McGraw, K. O., and Wong, S. P. 1992. A common language effect-size statistic. Psychological 390 Bulletin, 111:361-365 391 McMullin, S. L., V. DiCenzo, R. Essig, C. Bonds, R. L. DeBruyne, M. Kaemingk, M. E. Mather, 392 393 C. Myrick, Q. E. Phelps, T. Sutton, and J. R. Tripplett. 2016. Are we preparing the next generation of fisheries professionals to succeed in their careers? A survey of AFS members. 394 Fisheries 41(8):436–449. 395 396 Mitchell, E. W. and F. K. Kowalik. 1999. Creative Problem Solving, 3rd Edition. 397 http://www.geocities.ws/jdkilp/Creative Problem Solving.pdf 398 399 400 NASEM (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine). 2018. The Integration of the Humanities and Arts with Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine in Higher Education: 401 Branches from the Same Tree. The National Academies Press, Consensus Study Report, 402 403 Washington, D. C. 404 NRC (National Research Council). 2014. Enhancing the Value and Sustainability of Field 405 Stations and Marine Laboratories in the 21st Century. The National Academies Press, Consensus 406 407 Study Report, Washington, D. C. 408 409 Parnes, S. J. 1992 Visionizing. Buffalo, NY: Creative Education Foundation. 410 Repko, A. F. and Szostak, R. 2016. Interdisciplinary Research, Process and Theory, 3rd Edition, 411 412 Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA. 413 Solomon, G. 2007. An examination of entrepreneurship education in the United States. Journal 414 415 of Small Business and Enterprise Development 14(2):168-182. 416 417 Terre, D. R. 2016. Preparing the next generation of fisheries professionals. Fisheries 41(8):473. 418 419 Turner, K., and B. Freedman. 2004. Music and environmental studies. Journal of Environmental Education 36:45-52. 420

- 421
- 422 Von Oech, R. 2008. A Whack on the Side of the Head: How You Can Be More Creative. 4th
- 423 Edition. Grand Central Publishing, New York City, New York.
- 424
- 425 Von Oech, R. 1986. A Kick in the Seat of the Pants: Using Your Explorer, Artist, Judge, and
- 426 Warrior to Be More Creative. Harper and Row Publisher, New York City, New York.
- 427
- 428 Zucchella, A., B. Hagen, and M. G. Serapio. 2018. International Entrepreneurship. Edward Elgar
- 429 Publishing, Cheltenham, U.K.
- 430 431